

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,359	02/28/2002	Sukun Zhang	321.087	6609
7590 09/09/2004			EXAMINER	
B. Craig Killough			GOODROW, JOHN L	
Barnwell Whal	ey Patterson & Helms, LL	C		
PO BOX Drawer H			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Charleston, SC 29402-0197			1756	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/085,359	ZHANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John L Goodrow	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)⊡	 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	on Papers					
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment((s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/04_8/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969)., In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970)., In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982)', In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985)', and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1 .321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1 .78 (d). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,649,317. Applicant's argument has been carefully considered however the argument is not persuavisive in view of the following. The skilled artisan in the art would use any of the radiation energy such as actinic or UV or IR in the bonding of the image that heat energy can react with a first reagent and a second reagent. Futher applicants argue that the "317"does not apply radiation to the image after the image is created. When the

Application/Control Number: 10/085,359

Art Unit: 1756

image is viewed by actinic radiation the image is exposed to radiation and as applicants have shown the image then continues to finish the cohesive bonding that the heat radiation initiated. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because energy-activated printing utilizing a toner composition that has a reaction to energy activated components to enable the printed image to be permanently bonded onto a substrate. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of applicant': invention to utilize various toners with energy activated components in providing a process of electrophotographic printing that bonds the printed toner permanently onto a substrate.

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Goodrow at telephone number (571) 272-1384. J. Goodrow:cdc March 10, 2004 . ' .

John Hoorfree www

Page 3